HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.17894 OF 2006

Dated:15.09.2006

S.Raja Tulasi Ratnam

…Petitioner

The Commissioner of Police,
Malakpet Police Station,
Hyderabad and four others.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.17894 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER: ***(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)***

Heard the learned Assistant Government Pleader, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a telegram, dated 17.08.2006, sent to this Court, by one S.Raja Tulasi Ratnam, R/o. Jeedimetla, Hyderabad,

alleging that her son by name Pratyush was illegally confined by the Sub-Inspector of Police by name Mr.Sk.Ahmadunnisa, at the Office premises of the Commissioner of Police, Vijayawada, Krishna District, and the Police are trying to implicate her son in a false case.

3. After receiving notice from this Court, the first respondent-Commissioner of Police, Vijayawada City, filed counter-affidavit, stating, *inter alia*, that investigation has been done in this case, which reveals that there were some family disputes between the alleged detenue, the petitioner and the wife of the alleged detenu and, in fact, counselling was also conducted to reconcile the issue, but there was no consensus in the said counselling. It appears that though certain proposals were also made by the son of the petitioner, for settling the matter, the petitioner expressed her dissent over the same. It is further stated that actually no offence was attributed to the alleged detenue and as such, they had no occasion to register a case against him and confine him.

4. Further, a certificate, issued by the employer of the alleged detenue i.e., Satyam Computer Services Limited, to the effect that the alleged detenu had attended the office from 20.08.2006 to 01.09.2006, is also filed before this Court.

5. In view of the aforesaid fact and particularly, in view of the certificate issued by the employer of the alleged detenu to the effect that he attended the office from 20.08.2006 to 01.09.2006, the allegation that the son of the petitioner was illegally detained by the Police is

totally false and hence, no orders need be passed in this writ petition and the same is liable to be closed.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed, at the stage of admission, with costs of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One thousand only).

6. Registry is directed to dispatch a copy of this order to the petitioner to the address furnished in her telegram, dated 18.08.2006.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

_____

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J

15.09.2006
DR/KRK